

---

**Draft Minutes**  
**Members Assembly**  
*August 15-16, 2015*

---

Attendance List

**Name**

**Association**

Stephan Fogaing	AECSP
Mélissa Tremblay	AECSP
Ariane Dugas-Angrignon	AéESG
Anthony Blond	AéESG
Anne-Sophie Lachapelle	AEETS
Stephane Jenkins	AEETS
Stéphane Lebrun	AÉLIES
Guillaume Fortin	AEP
Jérôme Laviolette	AEP
Odile Noël	AEP
Alexandre Bonneau	AGEHC-UQTR
Pier-Marc Corriveau	AGEUQAT
Rachèle Gilbert	AGEUQAT
Thierry Bouchard-Vincent	CADEUL
Rodolphe Giorgis	CADEUL
Randy Bonin	CADEUL
Maxime Morin	CADEUL
Annie-Claude Vanier	FAÉCUM
Nicolas Bérubé	FAÉCUM
Frédérique Lessard	FAÉCUM
Nicolas Lavallée	FAÉCUM
Francis Gravel St-Pierre	FEUS
Mohammed Jawad Khan	GSA
Danielle Toccalino	PGSS
Guillaume Raymond	REMDUS
Caroline Aubry	Workgroup coordinator
Guillaume Girard	Association Relations Coordinator
Guy-Aume Descôteaux	Chair
François Corriveau	Lawyer

Draft agenda :

1. Initial proceedings
  - 1.1. Opening of the assembly

- 1.2. Nomination of the chairperson of the assembly and the secretary of the assembly
  - 1.3. Reading and adoption of the agenda
  - 1.4. Reading and adoption of the minutes of the previous session
  2. Introductions
  3. Report of the Coordinating Committee
    - 3.1. Reports of coordinators
    - 3.2. Work plan
  4. Bylaws
  5. Mandates of the Coordinating Committee
    - 5.1. University Financing Policy
    - 5.2. Quebec Youth Policy
    - 5.3. Annual Campaign
  6. Membership Contract
  7. Financial Contributions
  8. Political Positions
  9. Next Meeting
  10. Adjournment
- 

1. Initial proceedings
  - 1.1. Opening of the assembly

Opening by recognition of quorum 10h02.

- 1.2. Nomination of the chairperson of the assembly and the secretary of the assembly

REMDUS moves :

**20150815 AM 01**

*That Guy-Aume Descôteaux and Guillaume Girard are named chair and secretary of the assembly, respectively.*

FAECUM seconds.

*No request for a vote.  
Unanimously adopted.*

- 1.3. Reading and adoption of agenda

AéESG moves :

**20150815 AM 02**

*That the agenda of the meeting of August 15-16 août of the second workgroup of the Assembly of members be adopted as modified.*

REMDUS seconds.

No request for a vote.  
Unanimously adopted.

**1.4. Reading and adoption of the minutes of the last workgroup.**

AECSP moves :

**20150815 AM 03**

*That the minutes of the meeting of July 11-12 2015 of the Assembly of members be adopted.*

AéESG seconds.

No request for a vote.  
Unanimously adopted.

---

**2. Introductions**

**AECSP**

On our side, we continue to work with you. Nothing in particular has advanced at our campus with regard to a Quebec association, but we are happy to be here.

**AGEUQAT**

We are happy to be at the time and to see that this is advancing so that a new Quebec association will soon be in sight.

**AGEHCUQTR**

I am happy to be here; I will be an observer. We will see how we eventually act with regard to what happens in the student movement.

**AEP**

Happy to be at the table, we reflected on certain subjects you asked us to reflect on and we are ready to discuss everything this weekend.

**CADEUL**

We are also very happy to be here. Our objective is to have a good foundation on which we can work for the future.

**FEUS**

We are happy to be at the table, we hope that this weekend nothing is final since we have not had the time to consult our members with regard to the bylaws.

**AELIÉS**

I'm finally back after a busy summer. I'm happy to be here and to participate in the exchanges.

**REMDUS**

We are happy to welcome everyone here again. We have prepared a great experience in Longueuil for you this evening. We are happy that everything is advancing well but disappointed that the documents arrived late, but we have a good foundation nevertheless.

### **FAECUM**

We are pleased that everything is advancing; we have some fine draft bylaws to work on this weekend.

### **PGSS**

I'm excited to be working on this; I want to present this project to my General Assembly this fall.

### **AéESG**

We are happy to be here, even when preparing for the start of the school year and our action plan. As for affiliation, it will be in the winter, but we want to prepare ourselves quickly. We hope everything will be concrete.

---

3. Report of the Coordinating Committee
  - 3.1. Report of coordinators

#### **Coordinator of association relations**

You have received the documents; we can take questions if there are any.

FAECUM moves :

#### **20150815 AM 04**

*That the PPME receive the coordinator reports.*

AéESG seconds.

*No request for a vote  
Unanimously adopted*

- 3.2. Workplan

#### **Workgroup coordinator**

We will move quickly into the work plan, we have simply updated it.

Explanation of the progress of the work plan since the last meeting of the Assembly of members.

### **FEUS**

Were the tasks attributed to Joel distributed to the rest of the coordinating committee?

#### **Workgroup coordinator**

We had planned to work until August 26 only. For the period between now and August 26, we will effectively assume these tasks. We have the opportunity to discuss it further during this weekend.

FAECUM moves :

#### **20150815 AM 05**

*That the PPME receive the update to the workplan of the coordinating committee.*

CADEUL seconds.  
*No request for a vote*  
*Unanimously adopted*

---

#### 4. Bylaws

##### **Workgroup coordinator**

For starters, we move that Mr. Corriveau make a general presentation to you of the draft regulations that you have received. Then we invite you to make comments and ask any questions that come to mind in relation to the whole document. Regarding your proposed amendments, we can treat them passing through the document section by section. The most effective method is thought to be to deal with all the changes in a plenary to be able to discuss informally and to agree collectively on the changes needed. Then tomorrow we can adopt the document as amended, just as we had proceeded in the second workgroup.

##### **Avocat**

The mandate given to me was to review and validate the bylaws. Part III of the Companies Act is a law that is quite rigid and it is rather difficult to adapt it to different specific contexts. For example, we often speak of the general assembly as the supreme body while the law does not see it like that. What I have proposed to the Coordinating Committee after reading the first version of the bylaws, is that instead of seeing the organization as a single monolithic block, as is often seen, we say that the organization has a structure for the benefit of the organization. Thus we have on one hand the legal framework - the administrative framework - or the board of directors and the general meeting. Both bodies therefore have the task of providing a forum for members so they can work from a political point of view. The goal is to create a barrier between the administrative framework and operational structure so they do not encroach on each other. In this structure, the Board has a mandate to manage human and financial resources. The General Meeting will be as seldom as necessary to fulfill its legal role. The caucus, for its part, will take the role of policy decisions. The General Meeting and the Board of Directors of a university will not manage lesson plans; there is an academic body to do so. Also, from the executive committee, we must understand that the law considers this proceeding as a board sub-committee of directors with certain powers delegated to it by the Board. In student associations, this is not exactly how the executive committees are considered, that is to say, they are given the role of receiving more specific mandates and carrying them out rather than have the authority to incur expenses and to make decisions on behalf of the organization, like directors. I therefore suggest rather a coordinating committee, as this would be more consistent with the intentions that I believe you have. These elements will, however, be specified in the letters patent.

##### **FAECUM**

We had a question in relation to the executive council versus coordination committee. We would like some members of the Coordinating Committee to be ex officio on the Board of Directors.

##### **Mr. Corriveau**

There's no problem that leaders are administrators.

##### **CADEUL**

Where should we find the specific provisions for the reimbursement of the financial contributions provided for in the contract of the PPME? In the general regulation or letters patent?

**Mr. Corriveau**

I advised to put it in the letters patent.

**REMDUS**

I still question me on the issue of the Executive. Do you mean that if the Executive Committee is not composed of members of the Board of Directors and that it incurs expenses, this is not legal?

**Mr. Corriveau**

If the Board of Directors delegates its powers to non-directors, it is not valid, actually.

**CADEUL**

How can I give the chance to give the Committee of coordination to make some expenses?

**Mr. Corriveau**

The Board of Directors may provide a budget to follow.

**Workgroup coordinator**

Would there be a problem if we have a President of the Board of Directors and a President of the coordination committee which is not the same person?

**FAECUM**

Who would be the president of the Organization in this situation?

**Mr. Corriveau**

The president may be anyone, the law is rather vague in terms of Presidency. If there is a person who has powers in terms of law, the Secretary and the Secretary is often a non-director...When I say 'powers', I mean primarily responsibilities given by law. For example, convening meetings.

**CADEUL**

Is it possible to do an Executive Committee in addition to the coordination Committee?

**Mr. Corriveau**

Yes, it exists

**AEP**

Can you use another type of incorporation?

**Mr. Corriveau**

Yes, but the type of incorporation proposed is the one that allows obtainin scholarships for elected officials.

**CADEUL**

We saw on the draft bylaws the beginning of the week, the bylaws is quite simple and it reflects the decisions that we have taken this summer. We would be comfortable to submit it to our members.

**Assembly Chair**

If there are no other general questions, we could proceed with the opening of a plenary to treat the document section by section.

REMDUS moves :

**20150815 AM 06**

*That a plenary regarding the bylaws be opened until 14h.*

AECSP seconds.

*No request for a vote  
Unanimously adopted*

**REMDUS**

I can't wait for a section because it is not there, but I would like to know why the vision is not there while we have already discussed.

**Workgroup coordinator**

We did not formally adopt the vision and it is for this reason that we have not included, but you can add it.

**REMDUS**

I have in my mind that we adopted a vision, I actually spoke with Guillaume, but we don't have the same idea.

**AÉCSP**

I do not have it in my notes.

**REMDUS**

I have it before my eyes.

**AÉCSP**

I find it appropriate to add a vision, several organizations have one.

**FAECUM**

Our common memory tells us that indeed we had voted in it.

**Aeesg**

I don't know if a vision has a place in the bylaws since its purpose is to situate the future, so I am not sure that this is the best place to integrate it.

**REMDUS**

I'm glad that the FAECUM remembered, I remember that this part didn't totally please me. I think it's still a good idea to put it in the bylaws, I hope that in 10 years what we have written here is still there because if this organization no longer serves the same purpose I do not know what purpose it will serve

*Indicative vote on the wording:*

Only green cartons

*Indicative vote to include the vision in the bylaws:*

Many green boxes and a few yellow

**AGEUQAT**

I really liked what the Aeesg said. A vision is more apt for change, I think it's less permanent.

**Aeesg**

A vision is perhaps something that flows from the mission and is not, in my view, in the bylaws. It is perhaps tinged with my training in urban planning.

**AEP**

I'm in the same direction as the Aeesg. The framework is already fairly rigid, I find it important that it is there.

**REMDUS**

For me also the difference between vision and values is fuzzy, but I think that given that some associations have left, it would be nice to put it because it makes them happy.

**FAECUM**

I remember that it had been adopted already, it already had been debated. It is the same with the mission and values, it was a consensus.

**Workgroup coordinator**

The problem is that there are no minutes of this meeting there is therefore not evident we have a clear recollection.

**REMDUS**

I cannot believe we voted on anything at this meeting there in PGSS.

**AEP**

I don't see any major issue.

**REMDUS**

Values, they are not described in detail, I would like to take up the words in a previous version.

*Indicative vote on the labels for the values:*

Only green cartons

**AEP**

Section 1, I know that this is what we have adopted, but could a person wield the bylaws saying he wants to be defended, even if his interests are diverging from the national association?

**Mr. Corriveau**

Without research, I think not. I would have great difficulty to argue the opposite, should read the bylaws in their entirety. Although the national association gives itself the mission to represent, it is within the defined framework.

**AEP**

As a Trade Union?

**Mr. Corriveau**

No, this is different from a Trade Union. We are not covered here by the Labour Unions Act in this case.

**REMDUS**

Can we put efficiency first in values?

**AEP**

I would like to add "in a collective manner" after "individual members" in the wording.

**CADEUL**

I think it is important to mention individual members.

*Indicative vote to mention individual members in the wording:* Shared between the green cards and yellow cards

**REMDUS**

I just recall that the members of the national association, it is not individual members. For article 4, I have a problem, I want to be sure what it means exactly.

**Mr. Corriveau**

The idea is to give the ethic that documents be drawn up in accordance with gender-neutral standards.

**PGSS**

The way in which the text is written currently seems very restrictive, is there any way to write things so that it is clearer to say that we can modify it retrospectively?

**FAECUM**

We would like to if ensure gender neutrality of things once adopted if they are not initially, but we would not refuse to discuss a document only because it was not written in a specific way.

**REMDUS**

Can we add an article that mentions that in debates, amendments or new proposals that arrive will be rendered gender neutral?

**AELIÉS**

The goal is that all official documents are.

**FAECUM**

PROJET

We thought that it could be in an editorial policy and it would suggest the guide "Avoir un bon genre à l'écrit" the OQLF.

*Indicative vote on the guide of the OQLF:*  
Only green cartons

### **CADEUL**

We move a choice between the caucus and the general Council. We favor the caucus.

*Approval by the Assembly.*

### **FAECUM**

There are some terms that we would like to be defined such as: semester, student members, employees, agents. We would like to remove the term manger.

### **AEETS**

Semester, that means 4 times per year, quadrimester would be the right word. We at the AEETS have a document of reference for gender neutral drafting, I would move that.

### **REMDUS**

In "official document" can we add "as defined in a policy"?

### **Mr. Corriveau**

I would move to write "as defined in a policy of the Board of Directors".

### **PGSS**

Why is it not write simply "document adopted by the bodies"?

### **FAECUM**

"Official document" refers to public documents and not necessarily to documents adopted by a body. We speak for example of Facebook, press releases, publications etc.

*Approval by the Assembly.*

### **REMDUS**

We would like the Code Morin for procedures.

### **FAECUM**

We would like the Lespérance code. It is available in PDF format, it is easier, it has a summary table. There are different procedures that Code Lespérance allows while the code Morin does not.

### **AÉCSP**

We believed in the medium term, work with the code Morin is good since despite his faults, it is best known. I think it's easier to read a specific code.

### **REMDUS**

I agree with what the FAECUM advances, but the reason why I am against it currently is that they are the only ones to know it and in my opinion that is currently problematic. I think that we could eventually and possibly migrate to something like the Lespérance code or create a code specifically for the national association.

### **FAECUM**

The FEUQ has tried for several years to make a code and it never ended. Before saying yes, it adopts the code Morin, I would advise just to leafing through it, we have copies.

**Aeesg**

Many associations have their own code of procedures, we would like to go in the same direction as the REMDUS.

**AEETS**

We used the code Véronneau, to the best of my knowledge of this code, it covers the two issues.

*Indicative vote on the codes of procedure:*

- Code Morin:

Many green boxes, two yellow, 1 red

- Code Lopez:

Many yellows, some red, some green

**REMDUS**

I would like to know if, outside of the bylaws, we can commit to change the code within a year.

**CADEUL**

There was a question in relation to article 12. What is referred to exactly when one speaks of the Act?

**Mr. Corriveau**

Many articles; 91, 21... This is pretty major. It is the Governing Council which has the power to put them in force, except if the AGA does not ratify them, they cease to be in force.

**FAECUM**

Article 15 should be changed: 'caucus' 'general secretariat '.

**Aeesg**

For article 14, just to be sure... it is believed that the amendment to the bylaws can be made during the year and not only once. It is thought that the people who would be most likely to make changes to the bylaws are not those who will be on duty at the time of the annual general meeting. Everyone will be at the beginning of term and it is not the ideal.

**FAECUM**

We think it is necessary that we cannot make amendments to proposals for amendments to the bylaws immediately.

**REMDUS**

I agree that at the AGM, it initiates the process and that it is rather 6 months later that we make changes. We think that it should not necessarily be completed in 45 days.

**AÉCSP**

It wouldn't give too much inertia to this process there. One understands what the FAECUM said about it there, but we think it's more important to arrive at a settlement.

**FAECUM**

The bylaws is the core business, we think that it should not be easy to change and done on the corner of a table.

**CADEUL**

What it included, is that throughout the year there are people who can make notices of motion on the subject via the Committee on Institutional Affairs and so they will be all treated at the AGM.

*Indicative vote on deadlines:*  
Only green cartons.

**Aeesg**

In article 15, what is meant by "hardware error"?

**Mr. Corriveau**

I do not remember having inserted this, should be removed from the sentence.

**REMDUS**

It should therefore be changed from 'hardware error' to 'punctuation'.

**FAECUM**

We would just make a proposal to make decision to open the process of modification of the bylaws to the caucus before the AGM. Thus, changes may be made at the AGM.

**Associative relations Coordinator**

Our concern in this regard is that it is possible to *filibuster* the AGM by proposals for changes in RG and that it is therefore possible to block the election of the new Executive and annual orientations.

**Aeesg**

I am going to repeat what the FAECUM said, it can be complex to always call an extraordinary general meeting.

**REMDUS**

You cannot just convene an AG as it just to change the bylaws. I am miserable that it passes through an instance as the caucus that already does not have and to which we cannot give real legal authority.

**CADEUL**

The initial goal is that bodies of the association only are not mired in there continuously.

**FAECUM**

I would move that people have 30 days before the AGM to send proposals for amendments, and 15 days to send amendments.

**REMDUS**

I moves the wording to tomorrow and let the coordinators write something so that we do not obstinately argue on the wording of a sentence and that we stick to the content.

*Approval by the Assembly.*

**CADEUL**

Should Article 16 rather speak of a policy of disseminating information?

**FAECUM**

It would go in the same direction.

**REMDUS**

We split that the bylaws are available at headquarters because it is legal and as we would prefer that other documents be made available.

*Explanations of Mr. Corriveau on legal obligations to make available certain documents.*

**FEUS**

Our members want the budget to be available online.

**REMDUS**

I call you to vote against the motion of the FEUS. I would amend the wording so that it mentions what legally must be available and for the rest it refers to a policy.

**FAECUM**

I believe that we should reflect well on what things are available and should be available.

**CADEUL**

It is a policy that we will need quickly, for the academic year.

**REMDUS**

Individual members that are not members, I would like to remind you. I do not believe that it would be sensible to put our budget in line and thus to give all the tools to our political opponents to know how to defeat us.

**AEETS**

For us, transparency is important and we should not do only the bare minimum in this matter.

**Aeesg**

The idea here is not to do the bare minimum, but simply to include our ways to make access to information in a policy and come back later. It is not urgent in the short term to do it.

**REMDUS**

If it can secure others, you can add an article that says that there is a policy of access to information.

**AEETS**

I understand the mechanics to reduce the amount of text in the bylaws.

*Indicative voting so that the policy be done quickly:*

Only green cartons

**Associative relations Coordinator**

For the writing of the policy, how and when do you want us to do it? It remains two weeks before school starts and I'm gone on vacation, there are a lot of equally important things to do. How do you want to prioritize it?

**CADEUL**

Perhaps the start of the school year is somewhat exaggerated, we talk about some schedules for the upcoming several months.

**REMDUS**

As the debate on the name will be endless, it is the last point that should be addressed in the bylaws.

**Workgroup coordinator**

People said the same thing about the voting formula, and finally we settled the issue very quickly at the last workgroup, so there is more nothing surprises me!

*Laughter*

*Round table about the name of the association*

**Aeesq**

We love well "UnEQ - student National Union of Quebec" or so "AEQ - student Alliance of Quebec".

**FAECUM**

For our part, we don't much like the acronym UnEQ. We find that reminds us of the TaCEQ...

**REMDUS**

I agree all the same. We are very pleased to have made the decision to unplug Grandma! I would just like that the name be good in English too.

**FEUS**

At the FEUS, we have not discussed the name.

**Mr. Corriveau**

Simply be careful because there is already an organization on the Registrar of companies whose name is Union of students from Quebec. It would be surprising, but if the names are confusing, you are at risk of prosecution.

**AELIÉS**

I'll pass, I have no suggestion to make in particular.

**CADEUL**

We like UnEQ.

**AEP**

Student National Alliance of academic associations of Quebec, note that makes ANEAU.

**AEETS**

There is no preference.

**AGEUQAT**

UnEQ, we found it good.

**AÉCSP**

The question of confederation for us gives the message that members get more involved in the process so it looks like "CEQ - student Confederation of Quebec".

**Workgroup coordinator**

Perfect after this round, we can conclude that we will return on the question of the name later!

**Associative relations Coordinator**

You must choose a location for the headquarters.

**REMDUS**

I am ready to be in the Montreal area, but I do not want to be confined to the island of Montreal. If it is cheaper to have a location in Longueuil or Laval, I would choose without hesitation for these options. We should wait until we decide a specific municipality before making searches for a location.

**AEETS**

I'd go with government practices and I would move Québec.

**CADEUL**

I think we can agree on a region.

*Indicative vote to be headquartered in the Metropolitan Montreal area: Only green cardboard, except a yellow card.*

**CADEUL**

We would like to know what people would think to add the 3 statutory posts on the Board of Directors.

**FAECUM**

For our part, we agree.

**AGEUQAT**

What members of the coordination Committee would you like that are on the Board of Directors?

**CADEUL**

It is not yet known, we want to discuss this first with you.

**REMDUS**

I agree that we debated in particular positions later, but should now decide whether we wish members of the coordination committee to be members of the Board of Directors.

**FAECUM**

Would it be possible through a policy to give permission to the Coordinating Committee to spend without necessarily being directors?

**Mr. Corriveau**

Yes, there is no need generally to pass resolutions to buy pencils.

**REMDUS**

You would like to add that an association can only be member there does not exist on campus an association with a larger pool of representation. I want it to be only the highest level of representation that can join.

**CADEUL**

It will be important to ensure that there is no double representation.

**AEP**

The word "university" should be added because we do not want CEGEP associations to affiliate.

**FAECUM**

There is language that responds to all of these questions. This is the same wording that is found in the contract of association.

**FEUS**

According to my mandate, I promote Faculty membership. If FEUS removed all its external representation, could the Faculty associations affiliate?

*Many people say no.*

**AEP**

In the event that there is a total destruction of the FEUS, they could join?

**REMDUS**

Yes, a bit like when AGEsshalc-UQAM disintegrated.

*Indicative vote on the addition of the wording which is located in the contract of association concerning the largest basin of representation:*

Many cartons green, some yellow, one red.

**CADEUL**

I would even add that the association should have paid his dues to maintain its status of Member.

**AEP**

I would not like this to be a condition of exclusion and loss of membership status because otherwise it would be possible for an Executive to simply hold the cheque of contributions rather than carry out a process of legitimate disaffiliation.

**REMDUS**

I agree. If it is a matter of Executive, the worst it will take a year before the situation subsides.

**FAECUM**

We should clarify the paragraph that mentions that we can send the part of our bylaws which state what process must be carried out in the case of affiliation or disaffiliation. Currently, if we rely on what is written, we could simply send our bylaw section, without however having to demonstrate that we have conducted this process, and it would be technically adequate.

**REMDUS**

I would change article 26 because some associations are not members of certain bodies, but they should have the right to speak everywhere, without having the right to vote everywhere.

**AÉCSP**

With regard to translation, should also include the possibility of translating from English into French and not only vice versa.

**PGSS**

You could change the text to say "between French and English" and it would solve everything.

**Aeesg**

In article 28, I am uncomfortable with the fact that a member association may ask another Association to demonstrate that its delegates are allowed to represent it.

**FEUS**

We could now bring in our delegations student media, is that what it provides?

**Associative relations Coordinator**

It's an important issue, we should think about it.

### **REMDUS**

I do not think that it is a good idea to have anyone other than the executives of association members to participate in the proceedings. It should be added to the definition of "delegate" that it could be only persons authorized to speak on behalf of the association.

*Indicative vote for the clarification proposed by the REMDUS to the definition of «delegate»:*  
Many green boxes.

### **Workgroup coordinator**

It is still dangerous in a perspective where we play on local sovereignty. If an association considers a journalist as someone part of his delegation, there is not much that can be done without interfering with local sovereignty of the association in question. The only way to prevent that sensitive information found in a media, it is going in camera when necessary...

### **Mr. Corriveau**

Delegates are accountable to the association which they represent, if there is a breach of in camera, it is to the member association to take the necessary measures.

### **CADEUL**

We think that it would be a good idea that when association are disaffiliating, the end of its membership is at the end of the same quarter. Otherwise, we might have around the table a disaffiliated association that still has the right to vote in committees, but not always at heart the interests of the association.

### **AÉCSP**

Given that it is an "easy in, easy out" already, it would be more stable that it is at the end of the fiscal year, according to us.

### **AELIÉS**

We must be clear, if it is annually, it becomes more difficult to really be "easy in, easy out", and it could taint the reputation of the association.

*Indicative vote on the termination of membership at the end of the quarter of the disaffiliation:* A few green cards, lots of yellow and some red

### **REMDUS**

I took the yellow cards because I understand the financial issues, but also the impact of these disaffiliations.

### **Aeesq**

If I disaffiliate in September, I couldn't remove the fees before the next autumn as UQAM works like that for contributions.

### **REMDUS**

I agree on the side of the CADEUL because it is true that if an association remained a member 'by force' a quarter more, it may all simply withhold contributions and it would put the national association in a position where it must choose between losing money and not abiding by its regulations or then to continue the association holding the cheque. And we all know this is not a good idea to pursue an association wishing to leave...

### **CADEUL**

We have to identify the time and mode of collection of contributions.

**Aeesg**

Article 34, paragraph 3, it was mentioned that the association undertakes to respect several principles, including 'public order'... but in reality, it may very well be that we undertake pressure tactics that disturb public order...

**REMDUS**

Indeed, it is possible that we act 'against' public order, there is still an issue over it.

**Mr. Corriveau**

You can remove it, but as a lawyer, I can tell you anyway that it is not in the interest of the legal person to disturb public order...

*Indicative vote to know if removed 'public order'.*

Only green cartons

**REMDUS**

Article 37, I return to the question of the student journalist. For journalists I would add the question of unanimous consent.

**AÉCSP**

If one comes as a member or as a journalist is not the same thing.

**AEP**

I would like to reiterate the principles of local sovereignty.

**REMDUS**

Personally, it is not a question that I debated before journalists.

**Workgroup coordinator**

Like I said earlier, the only solution in this case would be in camera.

*Indicative vote on the prohibition of journalists in instances*

Very divided.

**AEP**

We should make a distinction between the journalists who are student members versus students who write from time to time in a blog or in a student newspaper.

**CADEUL**

If a journalist is in a delegation, we could not do anything... then we can write in a policy, for example in a policy of access to information that journalists non-members are not welcome unless unanimous consent. We think it's the best solution.

*Indicative vote to include this information in a policy: Only of green boxes.*

**AÉCSP**

We would put more dates for the annual meeting between the 1<sup>st</sup> April to 30 April.

*Approval by the Assembly*

**CADEUL**

Article 41 e): in the present bylaws, apart from the modification of the bylaws, what are the other powers?

**Mr. Corriveau**

It is not bad, just the expulsion of a member association.

**FAECUM**

The terms of voting to change the regulations, we found it a little problematic because it is less restrictive than for the voting procedures of the formula that was passed last time.

**REMDUS**

We developed the bylaws with one association, one vote, and two-thirds, so to change them, I think it should be the same thing.

**FAECUM**

We want to just avoid the political votes and it being easier to change the formula for voting than to make decisions with the said formula.

*Indicative vote to include a clause so the voting formula can be amended only with the same form of vote:*

Only green cartons

*Indicative vote to indicate that the General Assembly should not take issues other than those specifically laid down in the general regulation (not political positioning):* Only of green boxes

**FAECUM**

In the jurisdictions and powers of the Board of Directors, we would remove b).

**CADEUL**

It is the governing body who is responsible to authorize spending.

**REMDUS**

I'll move that president, the Secretary-General and the Coordinator of associative Affairs are members of the Board of Directors. I think this is logical because the latter is responsible for the Social Actions Resource Fund.

**CADEUL**

We would go in the same direction as the REMDUS.

**AEP**

We agree, but we would reduce it to two. We find three is too much, they would have too much weight.

**AÉCSP**

We would add the person in charge of the graduate student committee so he can bring a certain perspective at the graduate level on the Board of Directors. It is also he who would be responsible for a portion of the budget as it is expected give an envelope to the graduate committee.

**FAECUM**

The only reason for which the Presidency of the graduate council at the FEUQ was on the Board of Directors was because there was a vacant position at a certain time and then it stayed like that.

**Workgroup coordinator:**

Do you believe that it would be a good idea that some members of the Coordinating Committee be required to attend the Board of Directors, but that they do not have the right to vote?

**REMDUS**

To me, that they vote or not is not really an issue.

*Indicative vote that some members of the Coordinating Committee have voting rights on the Board of Directors:*

Several Green cartons and some yellow.

**FAECUM**

It must be voting because it is a neutral body within the organization because they are not appointed by a member association.

**FEUS**

I would go in the same direction as the FAECUM, it is a good idea that it is these people be there, but I do not think that there is need to give them the right to vote.

**REMDUS**

I don't think that it is because they are members of the Governing Council, they will be officers with more powers than the others. On the other hand, I would not put the President and the Vice-President there because they are likely to have too close proximity. The Associative Affairs Coordinator will have a different point of view from the others and I believe that it would be a good idea if the position is part of the Board of Directors.

*Indicative vote for the coordination committee members who are also members of the board to sit there without voting rights:*

Essentially of yellow cards

**Aeesg**

Whether they are voting or not does not really bother us, but in our minds, they participated as observers and as resource person as opposed to members. In our association, this is how it works.

**Mr. Corriveau**

With the right to vote comes additional responsibility, not only to sign, but also endorse the decisions and uphold board solidarity...

**FAECUM**

For us it is illogical that they are there, but not have the right to vote.

*Indicative vote so that the coordination committee members who sit on the Board of Directors have right to vote:* Nine boxes green, one yellow and one red.

*Indicative voting so that the coordination committee members who sit on the Board of directors do not have right to vote:*

Only yellow cards and red cards.

**AELIÉS**

I would not be at ease to have Vice-President sit with the President considering their proximity.

**Aeesg**

I would at least put the President and the Secretary general, the other person does not matter.

**AÉCSP**

We want it to be the person responsible for graduate studies.

**AEP**

The third appears to pose a conflict, let's go for two and it will be simple.

**AELIÉS**

I see no problem to stay at two, I want to have two presidents of the organization, so I would not put the person in charge of graduate studies to the Board of Directors.

**FAECUM**

We want 3.

**PGSS**

It would agree with 2 if the other members of the coordination committee can speak to the Board of directors when needed.

**REMDUS**

If you put the person in charge of the graduate studies, on our side, we will be able to justify because we are an association of graduate studies, but I do not think that it is a good idea for the good of the organization that we are trying to create.

**AELIÉS**

Do not give too much power to the person at the graduate level, we do not want to create an association that will have two bodies which do not have the same credibility.

**AÉCSP**

If you give them a vote, they are accountable.

**Aeesg**

We have already ruled on the issue of voting rights.

*Indicative voting so that there are three members of the Coordinating Committee on the Board of Directors:*

Almost only green boxes and a few yellow cards.

*Indicative vote so the third person is the person holding the Vice-Presidency:* Lot of red cards and a few yellow.

*Indicative voting so that the third person is the person holding the associative Affairs coordination:* Many green boxes and a few yellow cards.

*Indicative vote so the third person is the person responsible for the graduate studies:* Several red cards, several yellow cards, a green cardboard.

**FAECUM**

In article 53, just keep "by a resolution of the General Assembly".

**CADEUL**

We would like that the Board of Directors can suspend a member association pending validation of expulsion by the General Assembly.

*Indicative vote on the proposal of the CADEUL:*

Only green cartons

**FAECUM**

Must be harmonized with article 47 d).

**AELIÉS**

Why must this vote be unanimous?

**CADEUL**

We think it would be better if it was a vote by a majority of two-thirds instead.

*Indicative vote to be unanimous vote of removal of an administrator: Several cartons of green, some yellow, one red.*

*Indicative vote to ensure the vote for removal of a Director by a majority of two-thirds: Several green boxes and a few yellow.*

**AGEUQAT**

If it is unanimous, it would prevent making political dismissals.

**AÉCSP**

For us, unanimous is important.

**AEETS**

If the person has a friend in the gang, the vote will never pass if it is unanimous.

**CADEUL**

Precisely, it is too restrictive.

**FEUS**

It is just a suspension, it must still be confirmed by a General Assembly then.

**PGSS**

A possibility that there are 2 or 3 member associations and 3 directors who are executives, we should include a provision so that the executives are not the majority.

**Aeesg**

We would like a period of notice of 7 days.

**AEP**

We would like 2 days for a special meeting.

**FAECUM**

We would like to have an article that allows that a meeting can be held remotely by any means of telecommunication.

**Aeesg**

It's simple we would make a proposal with the analysis that has been done some months ago, to add a person in charge of socio-political affairs.

**AEP**

We agree, we should remove an internal position.

### **FAECUM**

It is unclear why we should revisit this decision. We are not comfortable seeing a decrease in the number of internal positions. If one reduces the number of internal positions, they will be less able to come on campus. We also have to ensure that the socio-political Affairs Committee and ad hoc committees that they fall under have a person who is in charge. We will have the resources with the FRAS to do these socio-political campaigns. I have the impression we are rehashing a debate that was already completed.

### **REMDUS**

When we will announce that there is an envelope that will have money, many people will want to participate, the person in charge of internal is going to have a lot of jobs. You can remove an internal. The Secretary-general may take a portion of the tasks also.

### **CADEUL**

Asked who would make the link with external groups.

### **Aeesg**

It is a lot of work already for associative Affairs coordination, we want that this association is effective, we do not want elected representatives to be out of breath.

### **FAECUM**

What exactly would be the workload and why it would not be divided among the members of the coordination Committee? In real life normally you send people who already have an affinity with certain groups to meet.

### **REMDUS**

He will have to produce content for 3-4 issues socio-political, to centralize all contacts with external groups.

### **Aeesg**

Meeting social groups shouldn't be the job of the president. Must be consistent, it is not normal that a president encounters a labour leader and goes meet a small group in Hochelaga after time.

*Indicative vote to add the position of coordination of socio-political affairs: Several cartons green, some yellow and some red.*

### **AÉCSP**

I think that there is agreement with this, but on condition that an internal is not removed. Why it does not remove rather one of the two which are academic affairs, since they do the same thing anyway?

### **Associative relations Coordinator:**

We need two persons responsible for records of the Academic Affairs Committee since all mandates related to the student condition have been repatriated to this Committee. It's many more folders to manage than it was at the FEUQ.

### **AÉCSP**

Why they do not have the same name if they are the same thing?

### **CADEUL**

There is agreement with different names, but in their tasks, should be separate issues.

### **FAECUM**

Two different positions, one which the actors are mainly internal (universities) another in which the actors are rather external (Government).

*Indicative vote to distinguish the two positions:  
A few green cards, several yellow.*

**AGEUQAT**

It doesn't bother me.

**REMDUS**

This is not an issue for us.

**AEP**

It is a question of flexibility for us that things are not defined

**REMDUS**

In the definitions of tasks, add support member associations with training as internals.

**AELIÉS**

I do not want to reopen the debate, but I personally have a problem to have two presidents in the same association.

**AÉCSP**

We want that the Chair of the Graduate Studies Committee has his right to oversee employees who work on his or her files.

**AEP**

I have the impression that we are redoing a debate has already been done.

**REMDUS**

Currently, no one other than the Vice-President manages human resources

**PGSS**

Should specify the time period for the frequency of reports of officers.

**REMDUS**

Does "monthly" suit you?

*Approval by the Assembly*

**CADEUL**

We want that internals work on supporting associations and would possibly have a list of the types of files which committees are going to have to address.

*Adjournment of the meeting at 6 pm.*

**Workgroup coordinator**

We start again at section 2 on the functions of the officers. Then it will pass through the document outlining all elements of the bylaws that we have not treated yesterday. Finally, we can prioritize issues to discuss.

**REMDUS**

We have a proposal to make for the composition of the coordination committee and division of functions.

*Time of reading of the proposal.*

**AÉCSP**

The two coordinators for Academic Affairs and the two leaders to the mobilization and associative relations now have more tasks.

**AEP**

Associative Affairs Coordinator is responsible for overseeing the ad hoc committees... Wouldn't it be internal instead?

**REMDUS**

Mr. Corriveau seemed to say that because there are 3 Directors elected from among the members of the coordination committee, their electoral process should be in the bylaws. We should delay the writing until after the workgroup.

**Workgroup coordinator**

Mr. Corriveau said that it did not need to be in the bylaws, but it must be in the electoral regulations. So we will adopt the bylaws today and write this regulation after. Must be just that everything is filed at the same time as the foundation.

**REMDUS**

I move the election procedure is an electoral regulation and that we would later write it.

**Workgroup coordinator**

This is what is currently written in the new version of the bylaws.

**FAECUM**

The procedures for changes in policies and regulations are attached?

**Workgroup coordinator**

We could put 'bylaws' instead of 'general bylaws' in the changes of the bylaws section.

**FAECUM**

In article 89, we would like to add 'legal' after 'person' and put the rest of the sentence in another paragraph. This modifications is to do 3 times in the text of the bylaws.

**REMDUS**

Article 77, change "periodically" by "monthly".

**Aeesg**

Article 82, shell: change "to members of the CA", to 'Caucus.

**REMDUS**

Former 85: add 'of a member association.

**Workgroup coordinator**

See article "must be supported by at least half of the member associations.

**FAECUM**

For voting formula: we would like to adopt what was told yesterday at the last second, or it can be changed only if the decision is made using the formula. We must also change the wording sent this week by the one adopted at workgroup 2; it is the same thing, but written more clearly.

**Workgroup coordinator**

This is exactly what was adopted last time.

**Aeesg**

We think it would be better to put the formula in the annex.

**Workgroup coordinator**

What is written below the first line is a mathematical formula for what is written in the text below. It is not part of the formula itself.

**AÉCSP**

The formula is difficult to read, we should put it in the annex.

**REMDUS**

Problem with article 91: it is necessary to readjust the formula whenever there is a new membership, while it is written "refreshed once per year" (for the changes in number of students on the campus in each new year).

**Workgroup coordinator**

You can change it so that it says 'at least once a year'.

*Chapter 3: standing committees*

**Workgroup coordinator**

It was lacking what had already been voted on. The composition of the CS Committee and the Committee of the regions: we had also voted that all other members of the legal entity could attend these committees, with the right to speak but without the right to vote. We have therefore added it.

**REMDUS**

I move to postpone the adoption of the various committees so that we can rework their functions (Chapter 3, section 1 former section 92).

**Assembly Chair**

Other articles explain what the committees do.

**REMDUS**

We're missing socio-political and the academic. They are not precise enough. It did not say what kind of issues they are working on, and yesterday some associations have said that we should clarify their roles.

**Workgroup coordinator**

We can do it, but in the end, it's the Caucus that decides on what work these committees work on.

**CADEUL**

We found it important in the initial draft of the bylaws to do so.

**AÉCSP**

We want to change the name of the CS Committee. We want it to be called "national graduate Council."

**FAECUM**

We are afraid that people will say that we are trying to redo the FEUQ committees in giving the same name it can be confusing... we would like to at least add the name "research".

**AÉCSP**

We are open to it.

**FAECUM**

Why the word national in a Subcommittee of a national association?

**AEETS**

I have the impression that it will be sufficiently independent, this Committee.

*Indicative vote to add the word " national " in the name: Several cartons of green, some yellow.*

**AELIÉS**

Should be given independence, but not too much. I do not want us to make the same mistakes as FEUQ.

*Indicative vote so it is named 'Council ':*  
Many cartons green, some yellow

*Indicative vote so that it is called 'Committee ':*  
Lots of yellow cards, a few Greens

**AÉCSP**

It is more integrated with the structure, so it has a good balance I think.

**CADEUL**

The turn of phrase in article 102, I would change "take their decisions" to "do their work".

*Approval by the Assembly.*

**AÉCSP**

I would add an article to say that the budget of the CNCSR and its terms are defined in a policy for the management of financial resources.

**REMDUS**

FRAS budgets are voted in CASP, but how we vote, should define it.

**Projects coordinator**

Mr. Corriveau told us not to mention the FRAS in the bylaws.

**AÉCSP**

The issue of voting in the CNCSR is not written, but we want one association, one vote.

**FAECUM**

We would have liked it to be the same thing as everywhere.

**REMDUS**

I'm willing to do tests, but the difficulty as I see it is that it is not all the associations that know their exact number of graduate members.

**AEP**

One association, one vote, is simpler for the regions and graduate committees.

**FAECUM**

I've done simulations this morning and for the regions, it is easily one association, one vote, but graduate it gives between 1 and 3 votes. I will reiterate we would like to use the same formula everywhere.

**FEUS**

I would like to see what the world thinks that majorities be at two-thirds.

**AGEHCUQTR**

I think it should be two-thirds because it seeks consensus.

Indicative vote to ensure majorities in two-thirds: only of green boxes.

**Aeesg**

I will deal with the same way the Committee of regional associations and the CNCSR.

**AGEUQAT**

It suits us.

**CADEUL**

In the enumeration of the tasks of the coordination committee, in section 107, in d), we would remove the word of life.

**FAECUM**

Article 112, we would change to "conventionally" and add "subject to the approval of the members".

AÉCSP offers:

**20150815 AM 07**

That the Corporation uses the principles contained in the latest edition of the Code Morin in its deliberations.

Aeesg supported

**FAECUM**

I would invite you to read the code L'Espérance in the coming months

*No request for a vote. Carried  
unanimously.*

REMDUS offers:

**20150815 AM 08**

That the registered office of the Corporation is located in the metropolitan area of Montreal.

Aeesg supports.

*No request for a vote unanimously.*

CADEUL offers:

**20150815 AM 09**

A resolution requesting the removal of a member of the Board of Directors is adopted by the Board of directors only if it gets two-thirds of the votes cast, not counting abstentions.

AGEUQAT supports.

*No request for a vote. Carried unanimously.*

REMDUS offers:

**20150815 AM 10**

That the assessment of the legal person be set at an amount of \$ 4.50 per quarter per student member.

Aeesg supports

**FAECUM**

Did coordination Committee do a brief study on the subject?

**AEP**

I refuse to arbitrarily decide what will be the amount of the contribution.

**Projects coordinator**

We have a document with budget projections to assess the needs.

*Explanation of the document produced by the coordination Committee*

**Aeesg**

Should there be a line for a Fund for regional associations?

**AGEHCUQTR**

I think that my memory of the PV, yes there was one, I would put it in.

**FAECUM**

For employees, we are missing social security. I would increase the budget of employees to not skimp on having good employees, it would be an expenses under general management, and it would be a total budget of \$ 200,000 for employees.

**REMDUS**

Why hire a general manager when we have an accounting technician?

**Projects coordinator**

I would remind you that it is just something of very, very preliminary.

**Aeesg**

A general manager is more expensive than an accounting technician.

**CADEUL**

According to the various discussions we had maybe \$4.50 is better.

**FAECUM**

We are included more for the \$4.50, there will be debts to pay to the associations of the PPME from the foundation.

FAECUM proposed amendment:

Replace "\$ 4.00" and substituting "\$ 4.50".

CADEUL supports.

**CADEUL**

Between \$4 and 4.50 there will be not much difference for our members.

*No request for a vote  
Amendment adopted unanimously*

Back on the main issue:

**AEP**

Is the CPI is the best index or inflation?

**Aeesg**

Inflation is the real value of money. Inflation is calculated based on the CPI.

**PGSS**

The CPI is the only one that would work for us because the administration does not allow us indexing contributions based on something else.

*FEUS request the vote.*

For: 7  
Against: 2  
Abstention: 1

*Adopted by majority*

REMDUS offers:

**20150815 AM 11**

That holders of the Presidency, the general secretariat and the coordination of associative Affairs of the Corporation sit on the Board of Directors as directors.

Aeesg supports.

AÉCSP proposes the following amendment:

Add "that coordinator of graduate studies and research, associative Affairs coordination and Vice-President be voting Board members".

PGSS supports.

**CADEUL**

We find it fun that the associative Affairs person may give an "on the ground" perspective, so we would like this position to have a right to vote.

**REMDUS**

I invite you to fight the amendment.

*Vote on the amendment*

For: 3

Against: 6

Abstentions: 1

*The amendment was defeated by majority.*

*Back on the main:*

AÉCSP request

For: 5

Against: 1

Abst: 4

*Adopted by majority.*

**REMDUS**

I would like to an indicative vote on the two names listed in the chart.

**CADEUL**

AEQ is problematic because it resembles the AVEQ and ASEQ

**AEETS**

We have not decided on the presence of the "n" in the acronym.

Aeesg offers:

**20150815 AM 12**

The name of the Corporation to be Student Union of Quebec and that its acronym is UEQ.

PGSS supports

**AÉCSP**

If you take without the "n", it said less well.

**FAECUM**

For us, it really is a non-issue.

AEETS proposes the following amendment:

To add "University" to the name and 'U' to the acronym.

AGEQUAT supports

FAECUM offers the following subamendment:

Remove the second "U" of the acronym.

AÉCSP supports,

No request for a vote on the subamendment.  
Adopted unanimously.

*AGEUQAT demand the vote on the amendment.*

For: 2  
Against: 4  
Abst: 5

*The amendment was defeated by majority.*

Back on the main

*No request for a vote  
Carried unanimously*

REMDUS offers:

**20150815 AM 13**

The English version of the name of the legal person is Quebec Student Union and its acronym is QSU.

PGSS supports.

*No request for a vote  
Adopted unanimously*

REMDUS offers:

**20150815 AM 14**

That the bylaws be adopted.

FAECUM supported

*FEUS request the vote*

For: 9  
Against: 0  
Abstention: 1

*Carried unanimously*

**CADEUL**

When will you realistically have a final bylaw draft?

**Associative relations Coordinator** Next Friday.

5. Mandates of the Coordinating Committee
  - 5.1. University Financing Policy
  - 5.2. Quebec Youth Policy
  - 5.3. Annual Campaign

### **Projects coordinator**

We have an annual action plan project to introduce you to.

*Explanations of the document.*

### **AÉCSP**

Should add 'policy of access to information '.

### **FAECUM**

It lack also "writing policy".

### **CADEUL**

The electoral regulations, the policy of access to information and a document on the FRAS are really the priorities so that we can present to our members quickly.

FAECUM offers

### **20150815 AM 14**

That the annual action plan be adopted.

Aeesg supports.

*No request for a vote  
Carried unanimously*

---

## 6. Membership contract

### **REMDUS**

We realize that there two posts of 5 to fill; we see that there are positions that are vacant.

### **AEP**

We would like to stick to 3.

### **Aeesg**

In an ideal world, we change nothing in the agreement and continue. Three, it is too little for us and we thought that it must be 5. There is still time to sign the contract if you want to do. Very soon, it will take a real Committee set up to work on the action plan that was adopted.

### **AÉCSP**

The current members of the coordination committee have told us it was going to be part-time for them. We want 5 people only if they are part-time.

**AEP**

This is not so simple for us.

**CADEUL**

Compared to part-time and full-time, it would change the conditions a little bit and it would give people the possibility to work more than a set number of hours.

**REMDUS**

We would open the 5 posts. That way we give ourselves every chance.

**AEP**

Question for the coordination committee. How many hours did you really work this summer?

Projects coordinator

On average 40 hours, I would say. There were weeks we were much more busy than 40 hours and others where we were less busy.

**REMDUS**

I would suggest August 30 for the elections.

**AGEUQAT**

Could we have a little more time to do that especially since we would like to meet the people, other than by Skype or telephone.

**Aeesg**

In a month, it is impossible for us to do so.

**AGEHCUQTR**

If it were September 6 it would be cool, it would be after my next meeting where I know if I have the mandate to return.

**Aeesg**

I would suggest the week

**REMDUS**

Which night may be possible?

Aeesg offers:

**20150815 AM 15**

That the elections be organized on 7 September from 6 pm.

REMDUS supports.

*No request for a vote. Adopted unanimously.*

**Associative relations Coordinator**

I invite you to pick a person to manage the applications, because it would make no sense whatsoever for us to do it.

**Aeesg**

I propose to host the meeting and that we use the same process as the last time.

REMDUS supports

**FEUS**

Will we send applications to everyone?

**Aeesg**

It should be sent to people who are around the table, the people involved with us.

**Associative relations Coordinator**

Should it be required that a committee of one of the members support a person?

**Aeesg**

Yes.

**CADEUL**

Yes.

**AÉCSP**

Yes, we have a meeting of the Board of Directors on the 26

**AEP**

Yes, we have a meeting of the Board of Directors on the 28

**REMDUS**

Yes, I can do a special meeting of the Board of Directors.

*No request for a vote unanimously.*

Aeesg offers:

**20150815 AM 16**

The deadline for submission of applications is August 30 at 11:59.

REMDUS supports

*No request for a vote  
Carried unanimously*

---

**7. Financial contributions**

Projects coordinator

We will make a tour de table. If you can answer on the basis of what you can give for the year it would be ideal.

*Round table*

REMDUS offers:

**20150815 AM 17**

That the contributions laid down by the member associations are accepted.

CADEUL supports.

*No request for a vote. Carried unanimously.*

**AEP**

The addendum is clear.

**REMDUS**

The estimates will help me to explain this to my committees, thank you.

---

**8. Political positions**

**REMDUS**

There were two things that had been put in abeyance last time.

REMDUS offers:

**20150815 AM 18**

That the PPME favours the re-establishment of the mandatory long census by Statistics Canada.

CADEUL supports.

*No request for a vote  
Carried unanimously*

REMDUS offers:

**20150815 AM 19**

That the PPME opposes at any pipeline projects, pipelines and development of hydrocarbons that has not been realized;

1. Complying with the strictest environmental assessment process by external and neutral evaluators
- 2 That is not done with respect and social acceptance
- 3 That is not in the economic interest of the Quebec population.

**AEETS**

There are research chairs that finance this type of project and help our members.

**AEP**

We have the same issue.

**AÉCSP**

It would be easier if one divided "pipelines" and "development of hydrocarbons".

*Approval to settlement to split the proposal*

**FAECUM**

It is not black or white. We will abstain.

**AEP**

Should it be clarified that it is not just in Quebec?

*No request for a vote on the first part of the proposal  
Carried unanimously*

Back on the second part of the proposal.

AÉCSP proposes the following amendment:

Replace "is opposed to any development of hydrocarbons [...] project" by "favour a reduction of hydrocarbons in an ecological transition industry".

REMDUS supports

*No request for a vote on the amendment  
Adopted unanimously*

Back on the main:

*No request for a vote  
Carried unanimously*

REMDUS offers:

**20150815 AM 20**

The PPME is opposed to any new increase in tuition fees.

Aeesg supports.

CADEUL requested the vote.

For: 9

Against: 0

Abstention: 1 (CADEUL)

---

**9. Next meeting**

**CADEUL**

On October 3-4 is impossible for us.

**FAECUM**

Is it possible to meet between 25 and 30 September for the logo and the first policies?

**Associative relations Coordinator** Yes. We will do a Doodle.

---

10. Adjournment

REMDUS moves :

**20150815 AM 21**

That the assembly of members adjourn.

AéESG seconds.

*No request for a vote  
Unanimously adopted*

Projet